*RIO GRANDE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS*
*TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT*
*PROGRAM*
*REGIONAL PRIORITIES MEETING*

*June 1, 2022*
*9:30 AM*

Brewster County Courthouse
Commissioners Courtroom
201 W Ave E
Alpine, Texas 79838
Chaired by Brewster County Judge Eleazar Cano

Attendees have the option to attend in-person or virtually

**Join Zoom Meeting**

**https://us02web.zoom.us/j/3027614919**

**Meeting ID: 302 761 4919**

**One tap mobile**

**+13462487799,,3027614919# US (Houston)**

**+16699009128,,3027614919# US (San Jose)**

# A G E N D A

1. Roll Call

2. Public Comment

3. Texas Department of Agriculture-Statewide Unified Scoring Committee – Adopted 2023-2024 Adopted 2023-2024 CD Unified Scoring Criteria

4. Texas Community Development Block Grant Program (TXCDBG) Project Priorities for the Rio Grande Council of Governments region

**RIO GRANDE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**
TXCDP REGIONAL PRIORITIES MEETING
AGENDA ITEM 1.
5/18/2022

SUBJECT:  Roll Call

INFORMATION:

Judge Cano will conduct a roll call for all non-entitlement cities/counties who are present. Each city/county will receive one vote. The non-entitlement cities/counties in the Rio Grande Council of Governments region include:

| City | County |
|---|---|
| Alpine | Brewster |
| Anthony | Culberson |
| Clint | El Paso |
| Dell City | Hudspeth |
| Horizon City | Jeff Davis County |
| Marfa | Presidio |
| Presidio | |
| San Elizario | |
| Socorro | |
| Valentine | |
| Van Horn | |
| Village of Vinton | |

SUBJECT:  Public Comment

INFORMATION:

Members of the public may address the meeting participants during the Public Comment section or during any particular agenda item of the meeting.  Any inquiries made by the public must be specific questions, which may be briefly answered with a statement of specific factual information.

The public may speak regarding an agenda item or, pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 551.042, raise a subject that has not been included in the Agenda.

SUBJECT: Texas Department of Agriculture-Statewide Unified Scoring Committee – Adopted 2023-2024 Adopted 2023-2024 CD Unified Scoring Criteria

INFORMATION:

What is the poverty rate of the applicant? (20 points)

Methodology: Determined by reviewing the most recent U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate Table S1701 for the applicant.

1. The poverty rate for each applicant is calculated by dividing the total number of persons at or below the designated poverty level by the population from which impoverished persons was determined. Once this has been established, the average poverty rate is determined by dividing the sum of all poverty rate by the number of applicants.
2. A base is calculated by multiplying the average poverty rate by 1.25
3. The poverty rate of each applicant is then divided by the base to determine each applicant's poverty factor
4. The poverty factor for each applicant is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

Note: Cities will be compared to all cities, and counties will be compared to all counties

What is the applicant's match amount? (60 points)

Methodology: If the project is for beneficiaries for the entire county, the total population of the county is used. For county applications in unincorporated areas, the population category is based on the actual number of beneficiaries to be served by the project activities. If the project serves beneficiaries for applications submitted by cities, the total city population is used. Data Source: Most recently available ACS 5-year Estimate, Table B01003

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 1,500 according to most recent ACS data:

Match equal to or greater than 2% of grant request = 50 points Match at least 1.5% but less than 2% of grant request = 40 points
Match at least 1% but less than 1.5% of grant request = 30 points
Match at least 0.5% but less than 1% of grant request = 20 points
Match less than 0.5% of grant request = 10 points

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 5,000 but over 1,500 according to most recent ACS data:
Match equal to or greater than 5% of grant request = 50 points
Match at least 4% but less than 5% of grant request = 40 points
Match at least 3% but less than 4% of grant request = 30 points
Match at least 2% but less than 3% of grant request = 20 points
Match less than 2% of grant request = 10 points

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 10,000 but over 5,000 according to most recent ACS data:
Match equal to or greater than 10% of grant request = 50 points
Match at least 7.5% but less than 10% of grant request = 40 points
Match at least 5% but less than 7.5% of grant request = 30 points
Match at least 2.5% but less than 5% of grant request = 20 points
Match less than 2.5% of grant request = 10 points

Applicant(s) population over 10,000 according to most recent ACS data:
Match equal to or greater than 15% of grant request = 50 points
Match at least 11.5% but less than 15% of grant request = 40 points
Match at least 7.5% but less than 11.5% of grant request = 30 points
Match at least 3.5% but less than 7.5% of grant request = 20 points
Match less than 3.5% of grant request = 10 points

Has the applicant been funded in the previous three (3) CD application cycles? (60 Points)

Methodology: Data source documentation will be reviewed, and points will be assigned.

The applicant has not received funding during the previous three funding cycles (0x) = 60 points
The applicant has been funded once (1x) during previous three funding cycles = 40 points
The applicant has been funded twice (2x) during the previous three funding cycles = 20 points

The applicant has been funded three times (3x) during the previous three funding cycles = 0 points

Tie Breaker: In the event of a tie, applicants shall be ranked starting with the lowest median household income. Data Source: Most recently available ACS 5-Year Estimates, Table DP03

SUBJECT:  Texas Community Development Block Grant Program (TXCDBG) Project Priorities for the Rio Grande Council of Governments (RGCOG) region

DISCUSS AND ACT UPON AS NEEDED

INFORMATION:

Under the Texas Department of Agriculture, the RGCOG is tasked with the establishment of project priorities for the region and the assignment of points. Twenty-five percent of the total available points will be determined by the regional project priorities. Sixty-five percent of the total available points are the scoring criteria determined by the Unified Scoring Committee and the remaining ten percent of total points will be determined by TDA through past performance measures.

The RGCOG region has previously set water/wastewater/septic tanks/yard lines, as the first priority for project types with streets/roads; drainage, being the second priority, followed by other basic utilities as a third priority.


Other priorities include:
Fire protection
Housing Rehabilitation
Community Centers;  Senior Centers;  Parks

## 2021-2022 Community Development Fund

## Regional Project Priority Scoring

| | |
|---|---|
| State Planning Region | Rio Grande Council of Governments |
| Date of Public Meeting | May 18, 2022 |
| List Names of Persons Responsible for Establishing Priorities (if a standing committee, identify name of committee or group rather than list individuals) | See attached |

For each category of Project Priority, list the activities that qualify for the category, and the number of points assigned.

- Up to three categories may be identified, which may include "all other eligible activities".
- All activities within a category will receive the same number of points.

| Category | Activities | Number of Points (maximum 50 points) |
|---|---|---|
| First Priority | | |
| Second Priority | | |
| Third Priority | | |